ON THE REGIOSELECTIVITY OF ELIMINATION REACTIONS IN TERPENE DERIVATIVES.

B. BADET, M. JULIA, J.M. MALLET and C. SCHMITZ.

ECOLE NORMALE SUPERIEURE, LABORATOIRE DE CHIMIE 24, rue Lhomond, 75231 PARIS CEDEX 05 - FRANCE.

(Received in Belgium 10 March 1988)

 $\frac{\text{ABSTRACT}}{\text{in the 3}}$, Tertiary alcohols with prenyl or geranyl moities, bearing a second oxyger function
in the 3, 4 or 5 position were dehydrated under various conditions : the composition of the olefinic mixture obtained was accounted for, by an intramolecular base relay effect. Basic elimination of analogous dimethylsulfonium salts gave results that could be related to the inductive effect : a correlation between regioselectivity and the Taft constant σ_i of the se-
cond oxygen function was found, except for the very basic alkoride groups where an alternative regioselective elimination took place.

The stereochemistry of the biosynthesis of geranyl (and farnesyl) pyrophosphate catalysed by prenyl-transferase has been beautifully elucidated and several suggestions have been made towards its rationalisation $¹$.</sup>

Much less attention has been given to the problem of the direction of the elimination; although it is not obvious that the final deprotonation of 3 formed by condensation of 1 with 2 where X is a nucleofugal substituent or a positive charge should produce a double bond in the 2,3-position (natural) as in 4 instead of the 3,4- (retro) or exo positions.

In fact some apparently similar systems undergo elimination reactions in the opposite direction. Over fifty years ago Pfau and Plattner reported the formation of homoallylic -and net allylicalcohols in the acid promoted dehydration of 1,3-diols 2 5. The suggestion 3 made by Arnold that the hydroxyl group was acting as an intramolecular base and removed the proton from the intermediate carbocation 5a accounted for the facts. In alkaline solutions, cases are known where suitably placed oxygen atoms in the molecule direct elimination reactions $4-5$.

5а

2913

5b

 $\overline{\mathbf{5}}$

In the present investigation 6 , a series of tertiary alcohols has been prepared with another oxygen function in the 1,3 position ($\underline{6a}$: OH, $\underline{6b}$: OAc, $\underline{6c}$: OnBu, $\underline{6d}$: OCF₂-CFC1H) and their dehydration under various conditions compared with that of the reference alcohol 6e with regard to the proportions of the natural $\frac{7}{2}$ and retro product $\underline{8}$.

The isoprenologs 9a, 9b, 9e were similarly prepared and treated. In the homologous alcohols 6f (and acetate $\underline{6g}$) and their isoprenologs $\underline{9f}$ (acetate $\underline{9h}$) the second oxygen function is in the 1,4-position with respect to the first one. In the next homologous one 6h (and acetate 61) and their isoprenologs 9h (resp. 91), the oxygen functions are in the 1,5-position.

These compounds have been selected in order to gather information about the Arnold factor illustrated in 5a from two points of view. First, the relative position of the oxygen function : it will be seen that in the situations pictured as $5f$ and particularly $5h$ this factor should favour the formation of the natural products in the elimination. In fact, it is known 7 that dehydration of 4-methyl-1,4-pentane diol takes place in the natural direction. Second, the basicity of the oxygen group : this led to select oxygen functionsa, b, c,d of widely varying basicity⁸.

The dehydration reactions were carried out either with potassium hydrogen sulfate or with phosphorus oxychloride-pyridine. The results are shown in Tables 1 and 2. It appears that the basicity $\underline{6a},\underline{b},\underline{c},\underline{d}$ of the oxygen atom has little influence on the direction of the elimination.

a) Isoprene 30% and dimethylvinylcarbinol 8% were also found. b) Reaction time 5mn, 2,2-dimethyltetrahydrofuran 65% was also found. c) 2-methyl-2-(4-methyl-3-pentene-l-yl)tetrahydrofuran 25% was found. d) Isomers not separated by glc on a capillary colomn SE52. e) 2,2-Dimethyltetrahydropyran 7% was found. f) 2-Methyl-2- (4-methyl)-3-pentane-1-yljtetrahydropyran 7% was found.

Table 2 : Dehydration with POC1₃(1.5eq.)/py(3ml) of lmmol of substrate, 15h, rt.

 $5 + 2 + 8$; $9 + 10$

a) complex mixture.

b) compounds 1, 8, **10 bcdegi are stable under these conditions**

Table 3 : Prenylation of isopentenyl derivatives. $\frac{a}{2}$ + DMVC $\frac{a}{2}$ 2 + 10

In dichloromethane $(5m1)$, 20min, 0°C, $\underline{8}(1mmol)$, DMVC(2mmol), TFA(5.2mmol).

In nitromethane (2.5ml), 1.5h, O°C, 8(4mmol), DMVC(1mmol), TFA(2.6mmol).

With poteseium hydrogen sulfate, control experiments have shown that some decomposition and isomerisation **of** the products took place under the reaction conditions. The distribution of products has been therefore disregarded in those cases when the total recovery was small. The relative position of the second oxygen function has a strong influence : compare glycols 6a, 6f and 6h or 9h; the prenyl residue does not make much difference. For hydroxy-acetates the effect is less pronounced (see 6b, 6g, 6i or 9b, 9i)

With phosphorus oxychloride-pyridine the same trend is apparent. The orientation effect is noticeable with 6b, 6c, 6d and 9b. However with 6i the natural isomer should have been favoured, which was not the case. In this technique, the isoprenologs 9b, 9g, 9i gave definitely lower proportions of natural elimination.

A similar influence of the nature and the position of the oxygen function was to be expected in the prenylation of isopentenyl derivatives and homologs. Table 3 gives the results of a series of prenylation reactions run with dimethyl vinyl carbinol (DMVC) and trifluoroacetic acid (TFA) in dichloromethane $^{\displaystyle8}$ and nitromethane 10 respectively leading to substituted ene-ols **9** (main products) and substituted dienes 10. Whereas the nature of the terminal group in \underline{a}_1 , \underline{b}_2 is of little consequence, the position of the oxygen function strongly influences the result. In the homoallylic derivative 8g and bis-homoallylic 8i the total yield of olefins 10 in the mixture increases, particularly in MelO_2 , and the proportion of the natural ones also increases from $2-4\%$ to 70% in MeNO₂ and 86% in CH_2Cl_2 .

There is a good agreement between these results and those of the dehydration experiments above and both can be accounted for by the Arnold effect.

In another investigation of the chemical factors involved in the biosynthesis of geranyl pyrophosphate, a series of phosphoric esters $\underline{11}$ - $\underline{14}$ with a preryl or a geranyl skeleton and a dimethylsulfonium leeving group have been prepared and submitted to basic elimination $\frac{15}{3}$.

Very high proportions of "natural" elimination were observed. In a first series sf experiments a number of sulionium salts were prepared, with a prenyl skeleton bearing OH 15a, OAc 15b or OPh 15j substituents as well as the homologs 15f,g,k and bis homologs 15h,i,l. The ${\tt suffonium~salt}$ $\underline{15e}$ without any otner function was included for comparison

There salts were prepared by reaction of the corresponding terminal olefins with dimethy isulfide and tetrifluorobotic acid. This technique 11 appears to be more efficient than the reaction with the corresponding alcohols even in simple cases (see experimental part).

With the sulfonium salts in hand we carried out elimination reactions under two sets of reaction conditions : potassium t-butoxide in dimethyl sulfoxide (conditions A) or sodium hydroxide in dichloromethane/methanol 15 , (conditions B),

The results are shown in Table 4. Table 4 : Elimination reactions of sulfoniums salts 24h at 20°C.

> A: 0.1N in DMSO with t-BuOK(leq) B: 0.1N in $CH_2Cl_2/MeOH$ (4/1 vol.) with 10N aq NaOH (leq)

 $15 \rightarrow 7$ natural + 8 retro

The reference compound 15e shows a strong tendency towards the Hofmann elimination under conditions A. A hydroxyl group in the 3 position as in 15a increases this orientation as expected from an Arnold-like situation. When the hydroxyl group is further away (15f,15h), the proportion of the natural olefin formed increased markedly but only up to 41%. The situation is analogous to 5h and a still higher proportion might have been expected; intermolecular elimination probably accounts for half of the products formed. The effect is much less apparent under conditions B where the weaker external base would not induce as much intramolecular basic relay.

In the phenoxysulfonium derivatives $\underline{15j},\underline{k},\underline{l},$ where no such intramolecular basic relay is possible the opposite trend is apparent, i.e. whereas the prenyl derivative 151 gave a sizeable (52%) amount of natural elimination under conditions A, the homologs 15k and 151 behaved more like the reference compound 15e and showed Hofmann type elimination. Under conditions B, 15j gave as much as 82% of natural elimination (see before).

The acetoxy derivatives $15b, g, i$, behaved like the phenoxy analogs under conditions A

but like the alcohols $15a, f,h$, under conditions B, due probably to rapid hydrolysis of the ester group.

The remarkable orientation effect of the phenoxy group points to some electronic effect. The elimination of bad leaving groups such as SMe_2 involves transition states with considerable accumulation of negative charge of the β -carbon atom (a ρ value of 2.75 has been measured 13). It thus should be facilitated by electron attracting groups on the $Y-$ carbon atom. This effect should favour the formation of the natural product and, if strong enough, might counterbalance the statistical effect (3/l in favour of the retro elimination). This effect would of course diminish as the electron attracting group is **moved** away from the suifonium group.

The phenoxy and the acetoxy groups have similar T aft σ _I values (0.38 and 0.39 respectively) 12 . In fact, they gave very similar distributions of elimination products.

In order to gather more evidence in this point, a few more derivatives 15 with a prenylskeleton and various groups in J-position with respect to the sulfonium group were prepared and treated under conditions A and B. The results are shown in Table 5 together with the σ_T values of the various X groups. A very clear trend appears : the proportion of natural elimination increases with the electron attracting power of the X group. The hydroxy group gives an abnormally high proportion of retroelimination due to the base-relay phenomenon mentioned above.

With the acetoxy group 15b another possibility was considered : a tetrahedral intermediate 16 formed by nucleophilic attack of the base on the carbonyl group would have an alkoxide function situated as in Scheme 5h which might favour the natural elimination.

17

16 -

On the other hand, a sulfonium salt bearing **a** strongly electron attracting group OCF₂CClF H 15d was prepared and led to very high proportions of natural elimination particularly under conditions B, Table 5.

In two kinetically controlled elimination reactions the ratio of the amounts of products formed should equal the rate ratio. The rate of the retro elimination might be assumed to be roughly independsnt of the X groups. (The inductive effect will **be reduced** to 0.36x0.36=0.1 times its **value for the** natural elimination since the site of action is two carbon atoms further away from Xl. It might therefore be used **as a** reference rate in a Hammett-like treatment and the proportions of isomers would reflect the usual kX/kH ratio. In fact its

logarithm when plotted against the σ_{t} values of the X groups falls indeed on a straight line for conditions A (and Bnother of course for conditions **BJ** which iu quite remarkable with such a crude treatment,

Table 5 : Attempted correlation of the direction of elimination with the inductive effect σ_j of the X group in C_{5} derivatives.

$$
\underline{15} \rightarrow 2 + \underline{8}
$$

a) hydrolysis of the ester group. b) this is the value for OCF_{3} assumed to be similar.

It thus appears that the relative position of X and $SMe₂$ and the electronic effect of the X groups account for the results. This might be of use when designing regioselective elimination reaction 17.

Our thanks are due to the CNRS for financial support (LA32) and the Rhone-Poulenc Company for a scholarship (to C.S. 1. We **also** thank Miss Michon, Miss Derouet and Mrs Morin for the spectral data and the Laboratoire de Microanalyse of University P&M. Curie for the analytical data.

EXPERIMENTAL

Fgr general indications, see ref.10. Compounds <u>6a,b,c,d,e,g,i</u> have been prepared indications, see ref.10. Compounds <u>6a,b,c,d,e,g,i</u> have been prepared prepared in the section of $\frac{1}{2}$.

Dehydrations with KHSO $_4\colon$ Compounds 6 or 9 (4mmol) were treated under reflux with KHSO $_4$ (10% by weight) at 140°C with stirring. The cooled mixture was diluted with acetone (10ml) excess sodium bicarbonate, an internal standard (linear C_g - C₁₂ alcane) added and compared by
glc with authentic samples.

Dehydrations with $POCl₂-Py: Phosphorus oxychloride (1.5mmol) was added to a solution$ of alcohol 6 or 9 (lmmoll in pyridine 13mll at O*C. *After* standing for 15h at r.t. the mixture was poured in ice-HCl, after extraction the mixture was analyzed by gic as above.

Prenylation of isopentenyl derivatives and homologa 8 was carried out as described : ref. 8 in CH_2Cl_2 ; ref. 10 in MeNO₂.

 $\frac{2h}{\pi}$ " rivatives and homologs : Compounds <u>7a-e</u> have been prepared ⁰. So have <u>7f,g</u> ³

4-Methyl-l-phenoxy-3-pentene 7k

was prepared from the corresponding alcohol 9 and phenol with carbodiimide, technique of *ref.14,* 4i3%, bp *: 101°C/4mm.*

found % : C, 81.95 ; H, 9.33 ; C₁₂H₁₆O requires % : C, 81.77 ; H, 9.15.
¹H NMR 8OMHz (TMS) : 7.45-6.85(5H,m,arom) ; 5.25(1H,t,J=7.OHz, vinylic) ; 3.95(2H,t,J₃=7.OHz, CH₂0) ; 2.45(2H,dt,J₁=J₂=7.0Hz,CH₂) ; 1.75 and 1.67(6H,2s,allylic).

$6-Methyl-2-phenoxy-5-heptene$ 71

was prepared from the corresponding alcohol 2 , dicyclohexyl carbodiimide, phenol and cuprous chloride (technique of ret.'U). and purified by flash chromatography, 52%. Found : C, 82.09 ; H, 9.83 ; $C_{14}H_{20}$ ⁰ requires % : C, 82.30 ; H, 9.87.

 1 H NMR 80MHz (TMS): 7.4-6.75(5H,m,arom) ; 5.08(1H,t,vinylic) ; 4.37(1H,m,CH-OPh) ; 2.17-1.90(2H, (m, CH_2) ; 1.8-1.5(4H, m, CH_2); 1.67-1.55(6H,2s,CH₃,vinylic); 1.27(3H,d,J=6.0Hz,CH₃-CH).

Methyl 5-methyl-4-hexenoate 7m

was prepared from 1-bromo-3-methyl-2-butene $\frac{9}{2}$ and dimethyl malonate followed by decarbomethoxylation, bp : 80°C/22mm ; 49%. bp_{lit} 54-55°C/10mm

 * H NMR 80MHz (TMS) : 5.09(1H,t,vinylic) ; 3.74(3H,s,CH₃O-) ; 2.25-1.70(4H,m,CH₃CH₃) ; 1.68 and 1.59(6H,2s.allylic).

Isopentenyl derivatives and homologs 8

$4-Methyl-1-phenoxy-4-pentene$ $8k$

was prepared as <u>7k</u> (48%); bp : 90°C/1.8mm.
Found % : C, 81.92 ; H, 9.31 ; C₁₂H₁₆0 requires % : C, 81.8 ; H, 9.1.

¹H NMR 80MHz (TMS) : 7.4-6.8(5H,m,arom) ; 4.75(2H,s,vinylic) ; $1.75(4H,m,CH_2)$; 1.75(3H,s,allylic). $3.95(2H, t, J=6.0Hz, CH₀0)$; 2.3-

$6-Methyl-2-phenoxy-6-heptene$ 81

was prepared as $\frac{7k}{k}$, and purified by flash chromatography, 52%.

Found : C, 82.39 : H, 9.95 : $C_{14}H_{20}$ O requires % : C, 82.30 : H, 9.87.

'H NMR 80MHz (TMS) : 7.3%6.7(5H,m,arom.j ; A.90(2H,s,vinylic) : 4,30(1H,m,CHO-) ; 2.16-1.90(2H, m,CH₂) ; 1.69(3H,s,allylic) ; 1.75-1.45(4H,m,CH₂CH₂) ; 1.26 (3H,d,J=6.OHz,<u>CH₃</u>-C-O).

$Method of image 5-methy1-5-hexenoate 8m$ </u>

was prepared from isopentenyl-tosylate
xylation according to Krapcho¹⁸, bp: 69°C/llmm. and dimethyl malonate followed by decarbometho-, bp : 69°C/llmm. 62% ; bp_{lit}: "67-70°C/15mm.

 1 H NMR 8OMilz (TMS) : 4.76(2H,s,vinylic) ; 3.73(3H,s,CH₃O) ; 2.35(2H,t,J=7.OHz,CH₃) ; 2.20-1.70 $(4:1,m,CH₂Cl₂)$; 1.75t3H,s,allylic;.

Diols and homologs 9

Alcohols 9a-e have been prepared in ref.8.

$4,8-$ Dimethyl-7-nonene-1,4-diol, $9f$ and monoacetate $9g$

Technique of ref.19. To a solution of 3-chloro-1-propanol(4Og, 0.425mol) in THF (400ml) at -20QC was added n-propylmagnesium chloride (2,5M in THF, 170ml). After warming up to room temperature, magnesium turnings (13g, 0.54mol) and 1,2-dibromoethane(0.4ml) were added and the mixture refluxed for 3h. After cooling. titration with benzylalcohol showed the solution to be 0.5M in grignard. lOOmi of this solution were placed in a flask under srgon and cooled to OV : 6-methyl-5-hepten-2-one (5g, 0.05mol) **was** added and the mixture allowed to stand another hour at 0° C. After the usual workup the diol was obtained as a viscous colourless oil $(6.5g)$. 88%).

The acetate $9g$ was obtained from $9f$ above with Ac₂0-Pyridine, and purified by flash chromatography.

Found % : C, 68.28 ; H, 10.85 ; C₁₃H₂₄O₃ requires % : C, 68.38 ; H, 10.59.

'H NMR 25OMHz (TMS) : 5.17flH.t,vinyl) ; 4.11(2H,t,J=6.5Hz, **CH 0) ;** H NMR 250MHz (TMS) : 5.17(1H,t,vinyl) ; 4.11(2H,t,J=6.5Hz, CH₂O) ; 2.04(3H,s,CH₃-COO) ; 2.05
(2H,t,allylic CH₂) ; 1.71 and 1.64(6H,2s,allylic) ; 1.77-1.47(6H,m,methylenes) ; 1.20(3H,s, CH_3-C-O).

 13 C NMR (CDCl₃) (TMS): 170.1(-CO) ; 130.4(-C=) ; 123.9(-CH=) ; 71.4(-C-) ; 64.5 (OCH₃) ; 41.4 (CH₂) ; 37.5(CH₂) ; 26.3(CH₃) ; 25.2(CH₃) ; 23.0(CH₂) ; 22.4 (CH₂) ; 20.4(CH₃) ; 17.2(CH₃)

$6,10$ -Dimethyl-9-undecene-2.6-diol. 9h and monoacetate, $9i$

The preceding technique with methylheptenone and the grignard of 5-chloro-2-pentano! gave <u>9h</u> (81%). This was converted into the acetate <u>9i</u> purified by flash chromatography, 89%.

Found % : C, 70.32 ; H, 10.91 ; $C_{15}H_{28}O_3$ required % : C, 70.27 ; H, 11.01.

^{*}H NMR 250MHz : 5.18(1H,t,vinylic) ; 4.96(1H,m,-CHOAc) ; 2.06(3H,s,CH₃CO₂) ; 2.05(2H,m,CH₃ all
11c) ; 1.71 and 1.64(6H,2s,CH₃ allylic) ; 1.65-1.36(8H,m,methylenes) ; 1.23(3H,d,J=6.0Hz, CH_2-CHO) ; 1.17(3H,s, CH_2-C-0).

 13 C NMR (CDCl₃) : 170.1(C=O), 130.9(C=), 124.1(-CH=) ; 72.1(-C-O) ; 70.6 (-CH-O) ; 41.4(2xCH₃) ; $36.3(\text{CH}_2)$; $26.6(\text{CH}_3)$; $25.6(\text{CH}_3)$; $22.6(\text{CH}_3)$; $21.2(\text{CH}_3)$; $19.9(\text{CH}_3)$; $19.7(\text{CH}_2)$; $17.5(\text{CH}_3)^2$.

Dehydration and prenylation products 10

Compounds $10a-i$ have been obtained previously 8 .

The compositions of the mixtures of isomers was determined by glc on a capillary column. Authentic samples were obtained on one hand starting with geraniol, nerol, homogeraniol. homonerol. geranyiacetone and nerylacetone *for* the "natural" isomers. Mixtures of all 5 isomera were obtained by dehydration of the corresponding alcohols 9.

Preparation of sulfonium salts 15

A solution of olefin 2 (10mmol) **and** dimethylaulfide(0.8m1, 12mmol), in dichloromethane (1Oml) was cooled to -3OOC under nitrogen **and** tetrafluoroboric acid in ether (1.4m1, lOmmo1) **was** added. After standing for 0.5h at -30°C the mixture was allowed to warm up to room temperature (lh) and sodium bic:rbonate (lg) was added, After standing for lh the mixture was filtered and washed with CH₂Cl₂. The usual workup gave an oil which crystallised when triturated with ether (2x2Oml). The ÿieIds and physical data of the various <u>15</u> are shown in Table 6, 7 and 8. It was found preferable to use olefins g instead of alcohols 5 as starting material, aa shown *by* model experiments on isobutene.

t-Butyldimethylsulfonium perchlorate

To a solution of isobutene (800mg, 14.3mmol) and Me₂S (2.2ml, 30mmol) in CH₂Cl₂(15ml),
acetic acid (TFA)(4.3ml, 55mmol) was added at -50°C and the mixture was allowed to trifluoroacetic acid (TFA)(4,3ml, 55mmol) was added at -50°C and the mixture was allowed to stand at 20°C for 6 days. The solvent was evaporated i.v. and the residue was treated with 70% aqueous HClO_A (_A₃ml). 2.17g (99%) of sulfonium perchlorate was obtained : mp:191-3°C (aq MeOH) mp_{lit} : 196-^{3 20} ; 193-5 ²¹. The same procedure applied to t-butanol gave the same salt in 76%
yield, With a reaction time of 12h 2-methyl-1-pentene gave a 80% yield whereas t-butanol gave no sulfonium salt.

For comparison, DMVC, 2-methyl-1-pentene, isopentenyl acetate 8b, isopentenol 8a and 3-methyl-1,3-butanediol <u>6a</u> (10mmol) were treated with Me₂S (20mmol) and TFA (40mmol) in CH₂Cl₂
(10ml) 14h at 20°C. After evaporation of the solvent, [']H NMR analysis was carried out with tetrachloroethane as internal standard. The yield % of the corresponding sulfonium salts were 93 prenyldimethylsulfonium, 82 (2-methyl-2-pent~l)dimethylsulfonium, 42 (of which 65% *was* 15b and 35% the hydroxysulfonium salt m), 25 ftrffluoroacetate ester of **25a)** and 0% respectively. -

Dimethyl(3-methyl-2-buten-l-yl)sulfonium tetrafluoroborate

To a solution of isoprene (10mmol) and Me₂S(1.5ml, 20mmol) in CH₂Cl₂ (10ml) trifluoroacetic acid (1.5ml, 20mmol) was added at -5°C. After standing 16h at^20°C the solution was **evaporated i.v.** The yield of salt (53%) was determined by comparison with an authentic sample by NMR. *A* similar experiment with **tetrafluoroboric acid gave** an 85% yield of the corresponding salt (the same ref.).

3-Methyl-1,3-bis(dimethylsulfonio)butane bis tetrafluoroborate

To a solution of Me₂S (3ml,40mmol) in methanesulfonic acid (7ml) was added isoprene
(1ml) at -10°C and the mixture was stirred 45h at 25°C. After the usual workup the salt was
converted into the bis tetrafluoroborate (2 in agreement with $c_9H_{22}S_2B_2F_8$.

 1 H **NMR** (D₂O) (DSS) : 1.57(6H,s) ; 2.32(2H,m) ; 2.83(6H,s) ; 2.94(6H,s) ; 3.45 (2H,m).

When treated under these conditions dimethylprenylsulfonium tetrafluoroborate vas converted into the same bis salt.

Basic elimination **of** sulfonium salts

Conditions A : To B suspension of **a** given sulfonium salt (lmmol) in DMSO (9ml) **was** added a solution **of** t-BuOK in DMSO 1M (lml). *After* standing 24h the solution was poured into water, and extracted (3x) with ether. The ethereal solution was washed **and dried, an internal standard** WaS added and analysed by glc. **After evaporation** of the solvent 1,1,2,2-tetrachloroethane was added as internal standard for the **NMR** analysis.

Conditions B : To a suspension of the sulfonium salt (lmmol) in MeOH (2.5ml) and CH₂Cl₂ (7.5ml) sodium hydroxide was added (10.")(O.1mmol). The analyses were carried out as above.

Table $6:$ $\frac{1}{2}$ HMP spectral

$$
\begin{array}{c}\n\lambda \\
w_2C \\
\vdots \\
w_5w_2\n\end{array}
$$

9.43 9.23

 $\frac{6}{3}$

Table $7 \div \frac{13}{10}$ C NMR spectra. DMSO-d6/TMS.

 $\frac{156}{156}$ 56.4(CH₂0); 56.2(C-5^{*}); 39.5(CH₂); 22.1(2XCH₂); 19.9(2XCH₂); 20.7(CH₃); 19.4(2XCH₃); 19.5(2XCH₃); 19.4(2XCH₃); 19.4(2XCH₃); 19.4(2XCH₃); 19.4(2XCH₃); 19.4(2XCH₃); 19.4(2XCH₃); 19 $\frac{15c}{15c}$ 70.4(Ch₂0); '65.6(Ch₂0); 56.3(C-5^{*}); 37.6; $31.5(2xCH_2)$; 22.1(2xCH₂); 19.9(2xCH₃); 19.3(CH₂); 14.1(CH₃)
15d 118.6(OCF₂td); 95.2(CFC1H at); 60.7(CH₂0); 55.5(C-5^{*}); 35.61(CH₂); 21.8(2 $\frac{156}{156}$ 51.7(c -5⁵); 37.7; 31.0; 28.8; 23.1; 22.1(5xCH₂); 21.5(2xCH₃); 19.2(2xCH₃); 14.0(CH₃)
<u>15f</u> 60.7(CH₂O); 57.6(C-5^{*}); 34.1; 26.9(2xCH₂); 21.9(2xCH₃); 19.5(2xCH₃) $15g$ 170.1($c=0$) : 63.3(cH_2) : 56.8($c=5$ ^{*}) : 33.3 : 22.7($2xCH_2$) : 21.4($2xCH_3$) : 20.7(CH_3) : 19.1($2xCH_3$) $\frac{155}{151}$ 65.6(CRH) ; 57.3(C-S^{*}) ; 39.5 ; 37.0(2xCH₂) ; 23.9(CH₂) ; 21.6(2xCH₂) ; 19.8(CH₂) ; 19.2(2xCH₂) ; 19.1(2xCH₃) ; 19.1(2xCH₃) ; 19.1(2xCH₃) ; 19.1(2xCH₃) ; 19.1(2xCH₃) ; 19.1(2xCH₃) ; 1 $\overline{152}$ 157.3; 129.2; 120.7; 114.2(aron); 63.0(CH₂0); 55.7(C-S^{*}); 36.5(CH₂) 21.9(2xCH₃); 19.7(2xCH₃) $\overline{15k}$ 158.0; 129.2; 120.3; 114.2(aron); 66.7(CH₂O); 56.9(C-S^{*}); 33.5; 23.2(2xCH₂); 21.4(2xCH₃); 19.2(2xCH₃) $\frac{151}{152}$ 157.3; 129.2; 120.1; 115.5(arom); 72.5(CH₂O); 57.0(C-S^{*}); 36.6; 35.7(2xCH₂); 21.4(2xCH₂); 19.6(CH₃); 19.3(CH₂); $19.1(2xCH₂)$

 $\underline{15m}$ 172.9(CeO) ; 57.2(C-S^{*}) ; 51.6(OCH₃) ; 36.4 ; 32.9(2xCH₂) ; 21.6(2xCH₃) ; 19.3(2xCH₃) ; 18.9(CH₂).

REFERENCES

